IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Shigemasa SUGA

Serial No.: 10/579,141 Group Art Unit: 1796

Filed: December 28, 2006 Examiner: Gregory E. Webb

For: CLEANER

DECLARATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.132

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Alexandria, Virginia, 22313-1450

Sir

I, Shigeru KAMON, do declare and state as follows.

This is to supplement my previous declaration.

Be shown in the following table 20. APM Cleaning liquid of the same composition as being used in the case of the example of Morinaga et al US6,896,744 was prepared, and pH of solution when adding to this phosphoric acid I wt% and hydrofluoric acid I wt% respectively, was measured. The results are shown in Table 20.

Table 20

pri when adding Phosphoric acid and hydroriuoric acid 1% in APM Cleaning liquid									
	Sample	no complexing	1% addition of	1% addition of					
		agents.	phosphoric acid	hydrofluoric acid					
	APM1	10.0	7.1	4.0					
	APM2	10.5	9.2	4.8					
	APM3	10.3	8.3	4.4					
APM1	Composition:	Solution which mixed	29wt% ammonia	water and 31wt%					
hydrogen peroxide and DIW by 1:2:80, pH 10.									
APM2	Composition:	Solution which mixed	29wt% ammonia	water and 31wt%					
hydrogen peroxide and DIW by 1:2:40, pH 10.5.									
APM3	Composition:	Solution which mixed	29wt% ammonia	water and 31wt%					

hydrogen peroxide and DIW by 1:2:60, pH 10.3.

apability of particle is very low also at this case. Especially when hydrofluoric acid is added with all samples, in order to show acidity and to make pH or more into nine, it urns out that it needs to be extremely made low concentration.										

Date

Shigeru KAMON

Although alkali is slightly indicated to be pH 9.2 with APM2 sample, the removal